

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/660,071	KONDO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Louis Falasco	1773	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Louis Falasco.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Richard S. Barth.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2005
**Time:** 1:20 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Previous Action*

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

-

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 5 addressed as rejected claim ( previous action at page 8 paragraph "4." and at page 11 in paragraph "8."). Claim 2 inadvertently omitted will be addressed in following non-final action.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)